003

In the Honble High Court of Judicature of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)
W.P. No. 1119 2006

1197/06

Petitioner ..... Shrimati Urmila Shrawan aged 43 years
Widow of Late Shri Dr. Bhupendra Shrawan,
Upper Division Teacher, Govt. Higher
Secondary School, Purur, District Durg
(C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Single Bench

V E R S U S

Respondent ..... 1. The State Government of Chhattishgarh,
Through the Secretary,
School Education Department Ministry,
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur.

2. District Education Officer, Kanker

3. Assistant Commissioner,
Tribal Development, Kanker

Writ Petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of WRIT in the nature of MANDAMUS certiorari, Prohibition and for other Suitable writ, or writs, order or orders, Direction or Directions.

020

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J

WRIT PETITION NO. 1119 OF 2006

Petitioner Shrimati Urmila Shrawan

Versus

Respondents The State Government of Chhattisgarh & Anr.

Present :- Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri V.V.S. Moorthy, Dy. Advocate General with Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

ORDER
(Passed on 24th March, 2006)

The petitioner is working as Assistant Teacher. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is entitled to two increments on having passed the examination of B.Ed. Learned counsel submits that this Court by judgment and order dated 24-1-2006 in W.P.No.379/2006 while considering the cases of similarly situated Assistant Teachers, has directed the respondents to grant two increments to the petitioners. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner herein is similarly situated.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of case and material on record, the respondents are directed to consider the representation of the petitioner in the light of the order dated 24-1-2006 passed by this Court in W.P.No.379/2006 (*Ram Bharosa Sahu & Others vs. The State of Chhattisgarh & Others*) and pass appropriate orders accordingly.

For the reasons stated above, the petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

GOWRI